This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS
IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

U OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/895,493	07/16/1997	MAKOTO SAITO	58800.919C	1079
38834	7590 08/26/2004		EXAM	INER
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			SEAL, JAMES	
SUITE 700	ONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	TON, DC 20036	2135		
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

v. ₩	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/895,493	SAITO, MAKOTO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	James Seal	2135
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 D</u>	ecember 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>156-181</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>156-181</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		. ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	,, under 50 0.0.0.	3 · · · · (w) (a) or (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No. 08416037.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		•
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s) 1)	1\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Summany (BTO 412)
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🗀 Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 08/895,493

Art Unit: 2135

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Action is in response to applicant's correspondence filed on 12 December 2003.
- 2. Claims 156-181 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 156 179 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shear US 4827508 A, and further in view of Nozawa et. al. US 5235641 A.
- 4. As per claim 156, the limitation of controlling copyrights of digital data is disclosed by Shear (Column 3, lines 63-68; Column 4, lines 1-2, and lines 12-14; Column 10, lines 15-18). Shear furthers discloses in one embodiment supplying to a user at least one of a plurality of encryption keys (Column 21, lines 28-38). Shear further discloses a number of functions that may be performed by the user such as browsing, printing, data transfers, copying, etc. (Column 17, lines 30-35). Shear finally discloses decrypting encrypted information in response to a request from the user (Column 10 lines 29-32; lines 63-67). Shear is silent on data control by permit (usage or function) keys. Nozawa teaches a plurality of algorithms each algorithm controlled by a different key (Column 2, lines 47-49; Column 3, lines 2-7; Column 4, lines 64-68), that

is when the data is manipulated a keys is associated with that function which insures the security of that function. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Shear's functions (browsing, editing, transferring, copying, printing data) with the teaching of Nozawa teaching of assigning a function (algorithm) to a key because as Nozawa remarks it would provide secure, trouble free, flexible data manipulation with each function requested by the user (Column 63-68). Note Shear suggests a dedicated "browsing terminal" (Column 17, line 30). Claim 156 is rejected.

- 5. As per claim 157, the limitations of the different types of uses of digital data including display (see Shear Figure 5, element 504, Column 7, line 7), editing (i.e., modifying Column 7, line 11), (copying (Shear Column 7, line 14) and digital data transfer (i.e., telecommunication, Shear Column 7, line 11) and storage (Column 12, lines 44-47). User directed storage of digital data is also disclosed by Nozawa (Nozawa, Column 5, lines 55-56). Claim 157 is rejected.
- 6. As per claims 158 -159, and 161-163 the limitations of this claims differ from those of claims 156 by recitation that only predefined *access* tasks can be preformed and in the case of claim 158 those access task are the limiting of the tasks (e.g. transfer, storage, editing, display) to digital data only as defined by copyright control program. Share discloses the using predefined access task (algorithms) Column 12 lines 1-2. Share further discloses a copyright decoder (decryption) control logic (program) element 316 Figure 3 and Column 16 lines 1-28 for requested use data. Thus limiting the user to say viewing the documents (browsing) or the number of times

the document can be viewed or the number of requests or tasks (use of usage keys) that the system can perform, or the type of data the user could access (digital versus analog or video or music etc.) would constitute limiting through predefined access by the copyright decoder control logic. Claims 158 -159 and 161-163 are rejected.

- As per claim 160, the limitation of displaying a copyright control message attempts to use other than predefined commands is taught by Shear. Shear teaches the issuance of warning messages if the user contravenes security policies (i.e. no tampering with the system, Column 21 line 59). Claim 160 is rejected.
- 8. As per claim 164, the limitation that the copyright information includes authorship information (author or authors; that is history of the document) for the purpose of copyright royalties is disclosed by Shear (Column 6; lines 49-54). Claim 164 is rejected.
- 9. As per claim 165, the limitations of claims 159-163 have been addressed above. The further limitation that the copyright control program supplies the user with at least one of the plurality of utilization keys is disclosed by Shear (Column 14, lines 3-16). Shear teaches the use of the copyright control program (decoder control logic) in its role in decrypting the database and Nozawa teaches the generation of function (usage) keys by the data key cryptographic device to the user (host) element 1 Figure 1, which in turn uses time to decrypt the data to operate or manipulate it. Claim 165 is rejected.
- 10. As per claim 166, the limitations of claims 159-163 have already been addressed above. The additional limitation of data limited to digital data has been addressed in ¶7. Claim 166 is rejected.

Application/Control Number: 08/895,493

Art Unit: 2135

Page 5

- 11. As per claim 167, the limitation of a key control center Shear is silent. Nozawa discloses such a center in Figure 1, element 11. Claim 167 is rejected.
- 12. As per claim 168, the limitation of supplying a copyright control program from the key control center to the user with at least one permit key. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was make would have been motivated to Shear combine the function of encrypted copyright control system with Nozawa's key control center in order and the association of desired data keys with to make the "burden" of encryption/decryption transparent to the user of the system. Claim 168 rejected.
- 13. The limitations of claim 169, are identical to those of claims 156, with the added limitations that the uses specified in claim 156 are now specified (display edit copy storage and transfer) which have been addressed in claim 157. Claim 169 is rejected.
- 14. As per claims 170-172, the limitations of limiting the functions to display or edit and limiting their use to digital data are disclosed in Column 7, lines 5-14, Column 12, lines 1-2. Claims 170-172, rejected.
- 15. As per claim 173, the limitation of a warning message is disclosed in Shear (Column 21, line 59). Claim 173 is rejected.
- 16. As per claims 174-5, the limitation of limiting the number of times digital data used see Shear claim 1 last part. Note in accordance with the teaches of Nozawa and Shear, limiting the number of times a function key were used would also be included. Claims 174-175 are rejected.

- 17. As per claims 176-177, the limitation of maintaining copyright and history information on authorship is disclosed by Shear see Column 4, lines 10-40. Claims are 176-177 rejected.
- 18. As per claim 178, the limitations of claims 172-176 have been discussed above, and the further limitation of supplying the copyright control program to the user with at least one key Nozawa Figure 1, element 11. Claim 178 is rejected.
- 19. As per claim 179, the limitations of claims 172-176 have been discussed above, the further limitation with supplying the copyright control program to the user with the encrypted digital data see Nozawa Figure 1, element 11 and Column 2, lines 60-67.
- 20. Claim180-181 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shear and Nozawa as applied to claims 156-179 above, and further in view of Atalla US 4588991 A.
- 21. As per claims 180-181, wherein the database includes a key control center for maintaining of a plurality of utilization permit keys. Atalla discloses a data distribution over a network (see Figure 3). Atalla further provides that the key control center and software are with the database (see figure 3). Norawa discloses function dependent encryption keys. Thus one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time the invention was made to have included the key control center with the database if the distribution is to be done by network. Claims 180-181 prejected.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 08/895,493

Art Unit: 2135

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments filed 12 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It should be noted to begin with that Nozawa was only used for his teaching of assigning a function (algorithm) to a key (Column 4, lines 67-68) and thus creating a function key (a key for performing a desired function) in this case providing cryptographic algorithms such as encryption and decryption for such functions as data transfer and storage (e.g., Column 3, lines 2-7). In regards to supplying the user with a plurality of utilization keys that correspond to different task, Nozawa was directed only to the limitation of function keys, Shear provides a mechanism to supply user with a plurality of keys (Column 21, lines 28-38) and functions (e.g. browsing, printing, data transfer, copying etc Column 17, lines 30-35). Again the motivation for combining is that even through Shear provides different functions he would do so using

dedicated terminals (Column 17, line 30), and thus Nozawa would allow the user all functions without resorting to dedicated terminals.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Seal whose telephone number is 703 308 4562. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703 305 4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jws

AU 2135 August 23, 2004 THE SORY PATENT EXAMIN'S TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2103

Page 8